

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 JEREMY STROHMEYER,

11 Petitioner,

12 vs.

13 DWIGHT NEVEN, *et al.*,

14 Respondents.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01312-RFB-GWF

ORDER

15
16 This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254
17 by a Nevada state prisoner. Neither a filing fee nor an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* was
18 submitted with the petition. When filing a habeas action, the petitioner must either submit the \$5.00
19 filing fee for habeas petitions or an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Due to the lack of an *in*
20 *forma pauperis* application or filing fee, the present action will be dismissed without prejudice to the
21 filing of a new petition in a new action with a pauper application with all required attachments. It does
22 not appear from the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly-filed
23 new petition being untimely. In this regard, petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating
24 the running of the limitations period as applied to his case and properly commencing a timely-filed
25 habeas corpus action.

26 **IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**
27 to the filing of a new petition in a new action.
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly

[Signature]

-2-